

Arizona Supreme Court
Criminal Petition for Review-Post Conviction (ASC)

CR-24-0247-PR

STATE OF ARIZONA v AUBREY SARAH HALLBERG

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 11-Sep-2024
 Case Closed:

Dept/Composition

Side 1. STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent

(Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

- State of Arizona

Attorneys for: Respondent

Kent P Volkmer, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 25809)
 Geraldine L Roll, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 19334)

Side 2. AUBREY SARAH HALLBERG, Petitioner

(Litigant Group) AUBREY SARAH HALLBERG

- Aubrey Sarah Hallberg

PRO SE

CASE STATUS

Sep 11, 2024....Awaiting Petition for Review

Sep 11, 2024....Pending

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
2 CA	2 CA-CR 23-0242				
PIN	S1100CR202000530		Steven J Fuller, Authoring Judge of Order Comments: (none)		

2 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

- 11-Sep-2024 FILED: Untitled Document (Treated as Motion for Extension of Time) (Petitioner Hallberg, Pro Se)
- 13-Sep-2024 On September 11, 2024, Petitioner Hallberg, pro se, filed an untitled document seeking an extension of time in which to file the petition for review in this matter. Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, 31.6(e) and Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion, and the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Petitioner's ability to file a motion in compliance with Arizona Rules of Crim. Proc. Rule 31.6(e) and ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion or petition for review is not filed by September 30, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk)